

### **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

The following is a summary of a telephonic interview between Examiner F. Pierre VanderVegt with Applicants' representatives, Sheila R. Gibson and Karen Lai, on October 2, 2008. Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for his time and consideration.

#### **Exhibits and/or Demonstrations**

None

#### **Identification of Claims Discussed**

None

#### **Identification of Prior Art Discussed**

Cited art was discussed generally.

#### **Proposed Amendments**

None

#### **Principal Arguments and Other Matters**

Applicants requested clarification of the grounds for the final rejection in the Office Action mailed July 1, 2008. Applicants re-iterated that the claimed invention differs from the compositions of the references in that the *ex vivo* treatment of the cells in the cited reference renders them unsuitable for adoptive administration to a human. Specifically, the T cells described in the cited art are treated with certain agents during the *ex vivo* manipulation disclosed therein that renders the cells unsuitable even for autologous administration.

#### **Results of Interview**

Applicants will reiterate the previous argument without amendments and the Examiner agreed to fully consider such arguments in an after-final response.